$$
\begin{equation*}
x u^{\prime 2}+y v^{\prime 2}+t u^{\prime} v^{\prime}=f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is an arbitrary analytic function.
To obtain the corresponding solution of system (3.2) it is convenient to select $\varepsilon=v$ as the parameter. Changing to real variables by means of (5.1) we obtain the double wave of system (3.2)

$$
\theta=\varphi\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right), \quad \omega_{3}=\Psi\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)
$$

in which the functions $\varphi, \psi$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann conditions.
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# THE GEOMETRICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF EQUALLY-STRONG BOUNDARIES OF ELASTIC BODIES* 

S.B. VIGDERGAUZ

The necessary conditions for the existence of systems of surfaces or plane curves of special shape determined from mechanical considerations, by potential theory methods, are found, a number of integral identities is constructed, and certain modifications of the Robin problem are solved.

1. A linearly elastic homogeneous and istoropic three-dimensional domain $S$ of the space $E$ is considered which is weakened by a set of mon-intersecting closed cavities $S_{k}{ }^{-}$with smooth boundaxies $\Gamma_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, m)$ and is loaded by remote forces $P_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ along the axes of an $X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}$ Cartesian coordinate system, $G, v$ are the elastic moduli of the medium, and $I_{1}(x), I_{2}(x)$ are stress tensor invariants at an arbitrary point $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.

The boundary $\Gamma=\bigcup \Gamma_{k}$ is called equally-strong for a given load [I] if the identity $I_{1}(\xi)=$ const holds at any of its points $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$. The constant on the right-hand side equals $P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}=P$. It is proved in [I] that such a boundary minimizes the maximum value, over the domain, of the local Mises plasticity criterion $F(x)=I_{1}{ }^{2}(x)-3 I_{2}(x)$, thereby being the solution of the following optimal control type problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in(S+\Gamma)} F(x) \rightarrow \min _{\{\Gamma\rangle} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $F(x)$ is invariant under a similaxity transformation of the coordinates, the optimal boundary according to (l.1), if it exists, is not defined uniquely, but to at least the accuracy of a scale given by an arbitrary factor $C$. Indeed, the class of solutions is significantly broader in many cases, which is utilized substantially in Sect. 3 .

It has been established / / /hat the components of the displacement vector $u(x)$ of the state of stress corresponding to a perturbation induced in the homogeneous field of cavitics are harmonic functions in the domain $S$ that decrease at infinity as $O$ (| $\left.x\right|^{-2}$ ), take values on the optimal boundary that are proportional to the corresponding coordinate at the point
*Prikl.Matem.Mekhan. ,52,3,478-485,1988
$\xi \Leftarrow \Gamma_{k} / / /$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{i}(\xi)=\lambda_{i} \xi_{i}+d_{i k}, \quad 4 G \lambda_{i}=p-2 P_{i}  \tag{1.2}\\
& i=1,2,3, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{i n}$ are certain constants, and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x) \equiv 0, \quad \text { rot } \mathbf{u}(x) \equiv 0, \quad x \equiv \mathcal{S} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In combination with the loading boundary conditions $/ 2 /$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 G \partial u_{i} / \partial n=-P_{i} n_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the optimality relationships (1.2) form an inverse boundary value problem of elasticity theory that afford a constructive possibility for finding the shape of the equally-strong boundary $/ 1,3 /$ in a number of cases. Here $\mathrm{n}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ is the unit vector of the internal normal to $\Gamma$ for $S$ at the point $\xi$.

By using their individuality, the special form of the right-hand sides of the identities (1.2) and (1.4) enables us to construct harmonic continuations of the components of the voctor $\mathbf{u}(x)$ within the domain $S_{-}=\| S_{k}^{-}$, respectively by the functions $\lambda_{i} x_{i}^{\prime}$ or $-P_{i} x_{i}^{\prime}(i=1$, $2,3), x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime}, x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right) \Subset S_{-}$. The vectors $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ obtained in this manner are defined in all space, have a given asymptotic form at infinity, are harmonic in $S$ and $S_{-}$, bul possess different properties at optimal boundary points. Namely, it follows from (1.4) that the vector $\mathbf{U}_{1}$ is continuous on $\Gamma$, but experiences a jump in the normal derivatives there

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 G \mu_{1}(\xi)=\left[\partial \mathbf{U}_{1} / \partial n^{+}-\partial \mathbf{U}_{1} / \partial n^{-}\right]=-P \mathbf{n} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (1.2) that the jump in $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ on $\Gamma$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 G \mu_{2}(\xi)=\left|\partial V_{1} / \partial n^{+}-\partial V_{1} / \partial n^{-}\right|-P\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its normal derivatives are continuous.
Relations (1.5) and (1.6) enable us /4/ to write $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ in terms of the integral operators $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}$ of a simple or double layer, respectively, of the given densities

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \pi \mathrm{U}_{1}(x)=\Lambda_{1}\left[\mu_{1}(\xi)\right]=-P \Lambda_{1}[\mathrm{n}(\xi)]  \tag{1.7}\\
& 4 \pi \mathrm{~V}_{1}(x)=\Lambda_{2}\left[\mu_{2}(\xi)\right]=-P \Lambda_{2}\left[\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating the first of identities (1.7) with respect to $n$ and then passing to the limit $x \rightarrow \eta \in \Gamma$ in all the relationships obtained we obtain in scalar form, taking (1.2) and (1.4) and the properties of the potentials into account /4/,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{i}+d_{i k}=\beta_{i} \Lambda_{i}\left[\partial \xi_{i} / \partial n\right] ; \quad \beta_{i}=P /\left(2 P-4 P_{i}\right)  \tag{1.8}\\
& \eta_{i}+d_{i n}=\gamma_{i} \Lambda_{2}\left[\xi_{i}+d_{i l}\right] ; \quad \gamma_{i}=P /\left(P-4 P_{i}\right)  \tag{1.9}\\
& \partial \eta_{l} / \partial n=\gamma_{i} \Lambda_{2}^{*}\left[\hat{\xi}_{i} / \partial n\right]  \tag{1.10}\\
& \eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right) \leqslant \Gamma_{k}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma_{i} ; \quad k, l=1,2, \ldots m \\
& \beta_{i}=\gamma_{i} /\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right), \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

## ( $\Lambda_{2}{ }^{*}$ is the operator conjugate to $\Lambda_{2}$ ).

It follows from the representations obtained that the constants $d_{i k}$ therein are zero. Indeed, by taking into account that the operator $\Lambda_{2}$ of an arbitrary constant reduces to a Gauss integral /4/, and consequently, is calculated explicitly, relation(1.9) can be written in the form of inhomogeneous Fredholm-type integral equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i}-\gamma_{i} \Lambda_{2}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\left(\gamma_{i}-1\right) d_{i k} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity (1.10) means that $\partial n_{i} / \partial n$ is the eigenfunction of the operator $\Lambda_{2} *$ corresponding to the eigennumber $\gamma_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. Since all the points of the spectrum of the operators under consideration are simple/4/, this function is unique. It is obviously orthogonal to all $d_{i k}$ consequentiy, each of the Eqs. (1.12) has a unique solution /4/. It is verified directly that it reduces to the constant $\left(-d_{i k}\right)$ on the surface $I_{k}$ but this contradicts (1.12), and, consequently, $d_{i k}=0$.

Therefore, $\eta_{i}$ is the eigenfunction of the operator $\Lambda_{2}$ for the number $\gamma_{i}$, with support on the equally-strong boundary, while the identity (1.8) resulting from (1.9) and (1.10) is, together with (1.11), a special case of the relationships obtained/5/with respect to any eigenfunctions of the mutually conjugate operators $\Lambda_{2}, \Lambda_{2} *$ on arbitrary smooth surfaces. it should be noted that the proof presented in /5/ is not accurate and needs obvious revisions.

The spectrum of integral operators of potential type lies outside the unit circle, as is well-known $/ 4 /$; consequently $\left|\beta_{i}\right| \geqslant 1(i=1,2,3)$, which is equivalent to the triangle inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{1}\right|=\left|P_{2}\right|+\left|P_{3}\right| \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and two analogous ones obtained from it by cyclic permutation of the subscripts. According to the above, they are the necessary condition for the solvability of problem (1.1) in this formulation. For a single cavity, these inequalities are also sufficient/1/, a triaxial ellipsoid surface with a ratio between the axes dependent on the load is optimal.

Rclations (1.8)-(1.11) are obtained as a result of clear simplification of the elastic equilibrium equations of the medium in domains with equally-strong boundaries. They are also valid in the two-dimensional optimization problem for a plane with equally-strong holes for $\gamma_{i}=P\left(P-2 P_{i}\right)(i=1,2), P=P_{1}+P_{2}$. The condition for its solvability takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\left|\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right) /\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant 1 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Earlier $/ 6 /$, the univalency of the conformal mapping of a domain with unknown boundaries into a standard domain while actually seeking them was posed as a requirement.

The identities mentioned describe the geometry of a set of equally-strong surfaces defined in problem (1.1) and parametrically dependent on values of the load $P_{i}$. The latter can vary within limits which are not wider than the allowed inequalities (1.13).
2. In the case $m>1$ the components $\mathbf{U}_{1 i}(x)$ of the vector $\mathbf{U}_{1}$ are an extension of first-order ellipsoidal harmonic functions /2/ since they allow of the integral representation (1.7) and agree in $S_{-}$with the linear harmonic polynomials $\lambda_{i} x_{i}$. By using them, three (out of a possible five) analogous second-order functions can indeed be constructed by means of the formula

$$
\mathbf{U}_{2}(x)=\left(U_{21}, U_{22}, U_{23}\right)=\mathbf{R}(x) \times \mathbf{U}_{1}(x), x 巨 E
$$

( $\mathbf{R}(x)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \quad$ is the radius-vector of the point $x$ ).
It follows from (1.3) that the vector $U_{2}(x)$ is also continuous everywhere and harmonic in $S$ and $S_{-}\left(\nabla^{2}\right.$ is the Laplace operator):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \in S, \quad \nabla^{2} \mathbf{U}_{2}(x)=\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{u}(x) \equiv 0 \\
& x \in S_{-}, \quad \nabla^{2} U_{2 i}(x)=2\left(P_{l}-P_{j}\right) x_{i} x_{j} \equiv 0 \\
& i \neq j \neq l, i, j, \quad l=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking account of (1.5) we conclude that the jump in the normal derivative of the vector $\mathbf{U}_{2}$ on $\Gamma$ equals

$$
\mathbf{P}(\xi) \times\left[\partial \mathbf{U}_{1} / \partial n\right]=-P \mathbf{R}(\xi) \times \mathbf{n}(\xi)
$$

from which a representation of the type (1.7) results

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U}_{2}(x)=-P \Lambda_{1}[\mathbf{R}(\xi) \times \mathbf{n}(\xi)] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These functions are sufficient to construct a closed solution in $S$ for the so-called Robin elastostatic problem of the second kind /2/. It consists of determining the state of stress and strain of an elastic medium that occurs during rotation through (small) angles $\theta_{i}$ a:cound the axes $X_{i}$ of a system of absolutely solid bodies included therein that occupy the domain $S_{-}$.

It turns out that in the case of equally-strong boundaries the solution is independent explicitly of $m$ and is constructed according to a scheme presented in $/ 2 /$ for a single inclusion in the shape of a triaxial ellipsoid. To this end, the appropriate displacement vector $\mathbf{V}(x) \quad$ is sought in the Papkovich-Neuber form /2/( $\mathbf{B}(x)$ is a vector and $B_{0}(x)$ is a scalar)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{U}(x)=4(1-v) \mathbf{B}-\operatorname{grad}\left(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{B}+B_{0}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& B_{0}=N_{1} \theta_{1} U_{21}+N_{2} \theta_{2} U_{22}+N_{3} \theta_{3} U_{23}, \quad B_{1}=D_{1} \theta_{2} U_{13}-D_{1}^{\prime} \theta_{3} U_{1 \mathbf{1 a}}
\end{align*}
$$

The components $B_{2}, B_{3}$ are obtained from $B_{1}$ by cyclic permutation of the subscripts. Substitution of (2.2) into the boundary condition of the problem $/ 2 / V_{1}(\xi)=\theta_{2} \xi_{3}-\theta_{3} \xi_{2}$ and two analogous to it also result in a linear system of algebraic equations in the unknown constants $N_{i}, D_{i}, D_{i}^{\prime}$ which separate, as in $/ 2 /$, into three that correspond separately to rotations through the angles $\theta_{i}$. Thus, for $\theta_{1}$ the system agrees, apart from the notation, with (5.4.5) of $/ 2$ / if the optimality relationships [I] for an ellipsoid are taken into account. It is not given here to conserve space.

The partial derivatives of the components $\mathbf{U}_{1}, \mathbf{U}_{2}$ with respect to $x_{i}$ on $\Gamma$ needed to construct the system are found from (1.7) and (2.1) by the Hugoniot-Hadamard differentiation formulas /4/. For instance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial U_{11}}{\partial x_{1}}=\left[\frac{\partial U_{11}}{\partial n}\right] n_{1}+\frac{\partial U_{11}}{\partial x_{1}-}=-P n_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{1} \\
& \frac{\partial U_{21}}{\partial x_{1}}=\left[\frac{\partial U_{n 1}}{\partial n}\right] n_{2}+\frac{\partial U_{21}}{\partial x_{1}-}=P n_{2}\left(n_{3} \xi_{2}-n_{2} \xi_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3. We will now consider the two-dimensional problem (1.1) ( $P_{3}=0, P=P_{1}+P_{2}$ ). For à large number of different modifications of the hole arrangement in the plane their optimal boundary is found explicitly $/ 6 /$ as a dependence of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{2}=f\left(\xi_{1}, m, q, C, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{\mathrm{a} m}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a definite function for each $m$ and $\omega_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, 2 m)$ are geometric parameters that are coordinates of the ends of $m$ slits along the real axis of the auxiliary plane on which $S$ is mapped in $/ 6 /$ while seeking $\Gamma$ from conditions (1.2)-(1.1). Under additional symmetry their number can be reduced.

It is important that inequality (l.14) is not only a necessary but a sufficient condition in all the cases considered in $/ 6 /$, that ensure the existence of equally-strong boundaries for any values of $\omega_{k}$ with the natural constraint

$$
-\infty<\omega_{1}<\omega_{2}<\ldots<\omega_{2 m}<\infty
$$

denoting that the slits on the auxiliary plane do not intersect. Therefore, (3.1) exhaustively describes a $2 m$ parametric family of solutions of the plane problem (1.1) for a given $g$.

On this basis, the ordinary Robin problem, that consists /4/ of determining the density $\rho(\xi)$ of a logarithmic simple layer potential with support on $\Gamma$ that takes constant values in $S_{k}^{-}$, can be solved analytically, which is equivalent to constructing a multiconnected analogue of the zero-order ellipsoidal harmonic function $U_{0}(x)$ in $E$ ( $t$ is the arclength of the contour $\Gamma$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{0}(x)=\int_{\Gamma} \rho(\xi) \ln \frac{1}{r(\xi, x)} d t, \quad r=|x-\xi|, \xi \in \Gamma  \tag{3.2}\\
& U_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\text { const }, \quad x^{\prime} \in S_{-} ; \quad \nabla^{\mathbf{}} U_{0}(x) \equiv 0, \quad x \not \equiv \Gamma
\end{align*}
$$

Although only m linearly independent solutions of this problem /4/ exist in a broad class of domains of different geometry, the function $\rho(\xi)$, insofar as is known, is actually found only for an ellipse /2/ as the boundary of one optimal hole /6/

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho(\xi)=D / H_{v}\left(\mu, v_{0}\right)=D \sqrt{1+v_{0}^{2}} / \sqrt{1-\mu^{2}}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \xi_{1}=C \mu v_{0}, \quad \xi_{2}=C \sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1+v_{0}^{2}\right)}, \quad|\mu| \leqslant 1 \\
& \xi_{1}^{2} / v_{0}{ }^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2} /\left(1+v_{0}^{2}\right)=C^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

wherc $\mu, v_{0}$ are elliptical coordinates of the point $\xi$ on the ellipse $v=v_{0}, H_{v}$ is the Lamé coefficient therein, and $C$ and $D$ are constants.

Let us consider the general case $m \geqslant 1$. It follows /1/ from (1.3) that equally-strong boundaries possess a characteristic property: the internal gravitation potential of the masses distributed uniformly within them is a given quadratric form of the coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(x)=\frac{P}{2 \pi} \int_{S_{-}} \ln \frac{1}{r\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)} d x^{\prime}=b_{k}^{(m)}-P_{2} x_{1}{ }^{2}-P_{1} x_{2}{ }^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
& x^{\prime} \in S_{-}, \quad x \in S_{k}^{-}
\end{align*}
$$

We now assign an optimal boundary $\Gamma$ with parameters $\left\{\omega_{j}\right\}$ and without changing $q$ we select a new $\left\{\omega_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that each contour $\Gamma_{k}$ lies strictly within the corresponding $I_{k}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ (Fig.1). According to the above, there exist infinitely many such pairs $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$. According to (3.4), the gravitational potential obtained by this method for a system of annular domains retains a constant value within $\left(S_{k}^{-}+\Gamma_{k}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(x)=\frac{P}{2 \pi} \int_{S_{-}-S_{-}} \ln \frac{1}{r\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)} d x^{\prime}=\frac{P}{2 \pi} \int_{S_{-}^{\prime}} \ln \frac{1}{r\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)} d x^{\prime}-  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad \frac{P}{2 \pi} \int_{S_{-}} \ln \frac{1}{r\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)} d x^{\prime}=\Delta b_{k}^{(m)}, \quad x \in S_{k}^{-}+\Gamma_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{align*}
$$

which generalizes the two-dimensional analogue of Newton's theorem $/ 7 /$ on the absence of attraction within a constant density elliptical ring to the multiconnected case.

We prove that a closed expression can be constructed for the density $\rho(\xi)$ for an arbitrary system of equally-strong contours in terms of the function from (3.1) by a passage to the limit in the identity (3.5).

Let the boundary $\Gamma^{\prime}$ described earlier be obtained from $\Gamma$ by a small variation of the form $\left(\delta \xi_{2}, \delta \xi_{1}\right)=h n$. The parameter $h(\xi)$ is the thickness of the ring between $\Gamma_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma_{k}$
evaluated along $n$; consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(\xi)=\sqrt{\left(\delta \xi_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\delta \xi_{2}\right)^{2}}=\left(\sqrt{1+f_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}} / f_{\xi}\right) \delta \xi_{1}  \tag{3.6}\\
& f_{\xi}=\partial j / \partial \xi_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

since the quantities $\delta \xi_{1}, \delta \xi_{2}$, are connected by the equation of the normal

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{8} \delta \xi_{2}+\delta \xi_{1}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity we require definite symmetry from the domain so that $\Gamma$ from the other side goes over into $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by variation of a single parameter $\omega_{1}=\omega$, say, on which we shall note the dependence later. Then we have

$$
\delta \xi_{2}=\delta f\left(\xi_{1}, \omega\right)=f_{\xi} \delta \xi_{1}+f_{\omega} \delta \omega, f_{\omega}=\partial \| \partial \omega
$$

and expressing $\delta \xi_{2}$ from (3.7), we obtain

$$
\left(1+f_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}\right) \delta \xi_{1}=-f_{\mathrm{z}} f_{0} \delta \omega
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\xi)=w(\xi) \delta \omega, \quad w(\xi)=-f_{\omega} / \sqrt{1+f_{\xi}^{2}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of the potential (3.4) due to the boundary motion is written in the form $18 /$

$$
\delta \varphi(x)=\frac{P}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} w(\xi) \ln \frac{1}{r_{(x, \xi)}} d t, \quad x \in S_{-}+\Gamma, \quad \xi \in \Gamma
$$

According to (3.5) $\delta \varphi=\delta b_{k}^{(m)}(\omega)$, and therefore finally

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{P}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} w(\xi) \ln \frac{1}{r(\eta, \xi)} d t=\frac{\partial b_{\mathrm{k}}^{(m)}(\omega)}{\partial(\omega}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \eta \in S_{k}^{-}+\Gamma_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude from a comparison of (3.9) with (3.2) that the function $w(\xi)$ is one of the solutions of the Robin problem. Here

$$
\partial U_{0}(\xi) / \partial n=-(2 \pi)^{-1} P_{w}(\xi)
$$

The total mass $Q(\omega, q)$ of this neutral layer is determined just as simply. We divide each closed contour $\Gamma_{k}$ into two parts by a line parallel to the $X_{1}$ axis such that the function $\xi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ is defined uniquely from (3.1) in each of them. Let $y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ and $y_{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ be its corresponding branches and $\xi_{k}(\omega)$ and $\xi_{k!}(\omega)$ partition points of $\Gamma_{k}$ (Fig.1). Then taking (3.8) into account

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q= \int_{\Gamma} \rho(\xi) d t=\int_{\Gamma} f_{\omega} d \xi_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\xi_{k 2}(\omega)}^{\xi_{n_{1}}(\omega)}\left(\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial \omega}+\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial \omega}\right) d \xi_{1}=  \tag{3.10}\\
& \frac{\partial A(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \sum_{k=1}^{m} y_{1}\left(\xi_{k_{1}}\right)+y_{2}\left(\xi_{k 1}\right)-y_{1}\left(\xi_{k 2}\right)-y_{2}\left(\xi_{k_{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

( $A$ is the total area of all the holes). Here the rules for the differentiation of a definite integral with respect to a parameter are used /9/. In the majority of cases (3.10) simplifies since the sum vanishes because of the symmetry of $s$ along the $X_{2}$ axis.

For instance, when $m=1$, substitution of the equation of an ellipse from (3.3) into (3.8) results, as is required, in the first of relations (3.3). Here $A=\pi C^{2} \omega\left(1-q^{2}\right)$ and $Q=2 \pi C^{2} \omega\left(1-q^{2}\right)$. Less elementary cases are also examined analogousiy.

Two symmetric holes on the $X_{1}$ axis. The appropriate function $f\left(\xi_{1}, c, q, \infty\right)$ and the expression for the total area of the holes have the form $/ 6 /$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\xi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|=C(1+q)\left[\mathbf{E}(\omega) \mathbf{K}^{-1}(\omega) F(\Psi, \omega)\right]-E(\Psi, \omega) \\
& \Psi=\arcsin \left[\frac{C^{2}\left(1-q^{2}\right)-\xi_{1}^{2}}{C^{2}\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{2}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& C \omega(1-q) \leqslant \xi_{1} \leqslant C(1-q) ; \quad 0 \leqslant \omega \leqslant 1 \\
& A=\operatorname{ta} C^{2}\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left[2-\omega^{2}-\mathbf{E}(\omega) \mathbf{K}^{-1}(\omega)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

( $F, E$ are elliptic and $K, E$ the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds). Substitution of these expressions into (3.8) and (3.10) when taking account of formulas for the derivatives of elliptic integrals with respect to the parameter / $10 /$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(\xi)=\frac{(1+q)\left[\mathbf{E}(\omega) \mathbf{K}(\omega)\left(1-\left(\omega^{2}\right) \omega \sin 2 \Psi+2 B(\Psi, \omega)\right]\right.}{2\left[C^{2} \Delta(\Psi, \omega)+\left(1+q^{2}\right)\left(\varsigma^{2}-\mathbf{E}(\omega) \mathbf{K}^{-1}(\omega)\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& B(\Psi, \omega)=[\mathbf{E}(\omega) F(\Psi, \omega)-\mathbf{K}(\omega) E(\Psi, \omega)]\left[\mathbf{E}(\omega)-\mathrm{K}(\omega)\left(1-\omega^{2}\right) \Delta(\Psi, \omega) ; \Delta(\Psi, \omega)=\left(1-\omega^{2} \sin \Psi\right)^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& Q=4 \pi C^{2}(1-g)\left[2-2 \omega-(2 \mathbf{E}(\omega)-\mathbf{K}(\omega))\left(1-\omega^{2}-\mathbf{E}^{2}(\omega)\right) \omega^{-1}\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 2 shows graphs of the


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|=C(1+q) \ln \left|\frac{\cos \zeta}{\cos \omega}+\sqrt{\frac{\cos ^{2} \zeta}{\cos ^{2} \omega}-1}\right| \quad h_{0}=2 \pi C, \quad \zeta=C^{-1}(1-q)^{-1} x ; \quad|\zeta| \leqslant \omega, \quad 0 \leqslant \omega \leqslant \pi / 2 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As compared with /6/, inaccuracies of a formal nature are corrected in (3.11). It is interesting that $h_{0}$ is independent of the load parameters $P_{1}, P_{2}$. It follows that (3.11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(\xi_{1}\right)=\frac{C(1+q) \operatorname{tg}\left(\omega \cos \xi_{1}\right.}{\left[\cos ^{2} \xi_{1}-\cos ^{2} \omega+C(1+q)(1-q)^{-1} \sin ^{2} \xi_{1}\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& Q(\omega)=\frac{\partial A(\omega)}{\partial \omega}=4 C(1-q) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \xi_{2}(\zeta) d \zeta=4 C(1-q)\left[\xi_{2}(\omega)+\int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \xi_{2}(\zeta) d \zeta\right]=8 C^{2}\left(1-q^{2}\right)(\pi-2 \omega) \operatorname{tg} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Curves $4-6$ in Fiq. 2 are graphs of $\rho\left(\xi_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ normalized by the condition $\rho(0)=1$ for $q=0.4$ and $\omega=0.3 ; 0.5 ; 0.9$.

In conclusion, we note that the constant value $U$ itself of the function $U_{0}(\xi)$ on $\Gamma$ and in $S_{-}$can be found by using the electrostatic analogy of the Robin problem /7/. when $U$ is the charge distributed in an equilibrium manner over $I$, and $U$ is the potential of the system of contours so that $C_{0} U=Q(\omega)$, where $C_{0}$ is the capacitance of $\Gamma$. As we know, it is conformally invariant and consequently, can be pvaluated not for $\Gamma$ but for the generating system of slits on the auxiliary plane mentioned at the beginning of sect. 3 . For them $C_{0}$ is always expressed in quadratures /ll/. The appropriate formulas are nore presented because of their length.
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